

who had grain there was able to get a little money but the individuals who sold grain and didn't get that check cashed within the five day period or even the next day, they were left holding the sack. Now I just feel that is wrong and this would correct that situation. And if the bond does maybe cost more money, but I think if we don't pass this bill and they have to have two different systems of license, the merchandising license and the other license and the increased paperwork that is involved, the elevators will not like this. So I have to say that we have to go with the bill and not the DeCamp amendment. Thank you.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator DeCamp, did you wish to close on your amendment? Oh, Senator Schmit, did you wish to talk?

SENATOR SCHMIT: If I could just make a couple of comments. First of all, we are designing a bond now. We are designing a different kind of bond to protect a different kind of problem. The situation has changed in the last twenty years and so we are redoing the bond procedure now. Number two, the powers that be, Senator Chronister said, said we are not going to cover those checks that are out. Well, we, those of us, the 49 of us here, are the powers that be and if we decide that we ought to try to cover them, then I think we will have to go back and take a look at what it costs as far as the bond is concerned. Anything is insurable. Anything is coverable. If the cost exceeds the benefit, I am assured that it will come back to us in very short order and we can make those corrections. Mr. President, again, I want to reiterate the provisions relative to inspections for dust explosions, I think they are important. I do not think they amount to harassment. I think that I can stand on this floor and tell you that in the past fifteen years many times I have opposed any bill which I thought harassed business. I would not do it. I do not do it in this bill. I ask you to reject the DeCamp amendment.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Now, Senator DeCamp.

SENATOR DeCAMP: Mr. President, it is rare that I get in a really serious and strong dispute with either Senator Schmit or Senator Haberman and I wouldn't involve myself in it unless I sincerely believed I happen to be right but I don't want you to vote for the amendment unless I can convince you and I wish Senator Haberman who believes I know nothing about the subject would listen carefully. Senator Haberman, let me explain to you, and I'm sure you already understand all these things far better than I, but let me just explain to you why we are not even talking as Senator Eret suggested about the price of the bond, we are talking about the existence or even possibility of getting the bond. Now let's take the typical